

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

In re GILBERT T., a Person Coming Under the
Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

GILBERT T.,

Defendant and Appellant.

F042101

(Super. Ct. No. 55200)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Tulare County. Martin Staven, Judge.

William J. Schmidt, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Jo Graves, Senior Assistant Attorney General, and Carlos A. Martinez, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Dibiaso, A.P.J., Gomes, J., and Cornell, J.

On August 21, 2002, Gilbert T. admitted allegations filed pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 that he possessed no more than 28.5 grams of marijuana (Health & Saf. Code, § 11357, subd. (b), count two), committed a misdemeanor sexual battery (Pen. Code, § 243, subd. (e)(1)), and committed misdemeanor unlawful intercourse with a minor (Pen. Code, § 261.5, subd. (b)). After a contested hearing, the juvenile court found true an allegation filed in a subsequent petition that Gilbert had committed misdemeanor contempt of court for willful disobedience of a court order (Pen. Code, § 166, subd. (a)(4)). Gilbert was placed on probation upon various terms and conditions.

Gilbert's appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, raises no issues, and requests this court independently to review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) The opening brief also includes the declaration of appellate counsel indicating that Gilbert was advised he could file his own brief with this court. By letter of April 21, 2003, we invited Gilbert to submit additional briefing. To date he has not done so.

After independent review of the record, we have concluded no reasonably arguable legal or factual argument exists.

The judgment is affirmed.